

Question	Scheme	Marks	AOs	
3	Statement: "If m and n are irrational numbers, where $m \neq n$, then mn is also irrational."			
(a)	E.g. $m = \sqrt{3}, n = \sqrt{12}$	M1	1.1b	
	$\{mn = \} (\sqrt{3})(\sqrt{12}) = 6$ \Rightarrow statement untrue or 6 is not irrational or 6 is rational	A1	2.4	
		(2)		
(b)(i), (ii) Way 1		V shaped graph {reasonably} symmetrical about the y -axis with vertical intercept $(0, 3)$ or 3 stated or marked on the positive y -axis	B1	1.1b
		Superimposes the graph of $y = x + 3 $ on top of the graph of $y = x + 3$	M1	3.1a
	the graph of $y = x + 3$ is either the same or above the graph of $y = x + 3 $ {for corresponding values of x } or when $x \geq 0$, both graphs are equal (or the same) when $x < 0$, the graph of $y = x + 3$ is above the graph of $y = x + 3 $	A1	2.4	
		(3)		
(b)(ii) Way 2	<u>Reason 1</u> When $x \geq 0, x + 3 = x + 3 $	Any one of Reason 1 or Reason 2	M1	3.1a
	<u>Reason 2</u> When $x < 0, x + 3 > x + 3 $	Both Reason 1 and Reason 2	A1	2.4

(5 marks)

Notes for Question 3

(a)	
M1:	States or uses any pair of <i>different</i> numbers that will disprove the statement. E.g. $\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{12}; \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{8}; \sqrt{5}, -\sqrt{5}; \frac{1}{\pi}, 2\pi; 3e, \frac{4}{5e}$;
A1:	Uses correct reasoning to disprove the given statement, with a correct conclusion
Note:	Writing $(3e)\left(\frac{4}{5e}\right) = \frac{12}{5} \Rightarrow$ untrue is sufficient for M1A1
(b)(i)	
B1:	See scheme
(b)(ii)	
M1:	For constructing a method of comparing $ x + 3$ with $ x + 3 $. See scheme.
A1:	Explains fully why $ x + 3 \geq x + 3 $. See scheme.
Note:	Do not allow either $x > 0, x + 3 \geq x + 3 $ or $x \geq 0, x + 3 \geq x + 3 $ as a valid reason
Note	$x = 0$ (or where necessary $x = -3$) need to be considered in their solutions for A1
Note:	Do not allow an incorrect statement such as $x \leq 0, x + 3 > x + 3 $ for A1

Question	Scheme	Marks	AOs
9	$\frac{d}{d\theta}(\cos\theta) = -\sin\theta$; as $h \rightarrow 0$, $\frac{\sin h}{h} \rightarrow 1$ and $\frac{\cos h - 1}{h} \rightarrow 0$		
	$\frac{\cos(\theta+h) - \cos\theta}{h}$	B1	2.1
	$= \frac{\cos\theta\cos h - \sin\theta\sin h - \cos\theta}{h}$	M1	1.1b
		A1	1.1b
	$= -\frac{\sin h}{h}\sin\theta + \left(\frac{\cos h - 1}{h}\right)\cos\theta$		
	As $h \rightarrow 0$, $-\frac{\sin h}{h}\sin\theta + \left(\frac{\cos h - 1}{h}\right)\cos\theta \rightarrow -1\sin\theta + 0\cos\theta$	dM1	2.1
	so $\frac{d}{d\theta}(\cos\theta) = -\sin\theta$ *	A1*	2.5
		(5)	
(5 marks)			
Notes for Question 9			
B1:	Gives the correct fraction such as $\frac{\cos(\theta+h) - \cos\theta}{h}$ or $\frac{\cos(\theta+\delta\theta) - \cos\theta}{\delta\theta}$ Allow $\frac{\cos(\theta+h) - \cos\theta}{(\theta+h) - \theta}$ o.e. Note: $\cos(\theta+h)$ or $\cos(\theta+\delta\theta)$ may be expanded		
M1:	Uses the compound angle formula for $\cos(\theta+h)$ to give $\cos\theta\cos h \pm \sin\theta\sin h$		
A1:	Achieves $\frac{\cos\theta\cos h - \sin\theta\sin h - \cos\theta}{h}$ or equivalent		
dM1:	dependent on both the B and M marks being awarded Complete attempt to apply the given limits to the gradient of their chord		
Note:	They must isolate $\frac{\sin h}{h}$ and $\left(\frac{\cos h - 1}{h}\right)$, and replace $\frac{\sin h}{h}$ with 1 and replace $\left(\frac{\cos h - 1}{h}\right)$ with 0		
A1*:	cso. Uses correct mathematical language of limiting arguments to prove $\frac{d}{d\theta}(\cos\theta) = -\sin\theta$		
Note:	Acceptable responses for the final A mark include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> $\frac{d}{d\theta}(\cos\theta) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left(-\frac{\sin h}{h}\sin\theta + \left(\frac{\cos h - 1}{h}\right)\cos\theta \right) = -1\sin\theta + 0\cos\theta = -\sin\theta$ Gradient of chord = $-\frac{\sin h}{h}\sin\theta + \left(\frac{\cos h - 1}{h}\right)\cos\theta$. As $h \rightarrow 0$, gradient of chord tends to the gradient of the curve, so derivative is $-\sin\theta$ Gradient of chord = $-\frac{\sin h}{h}\sin\theta + \left(\frac{\cos h - 1}{h}\right)\cos\theta$. As $h \rightarrow 0$, gradient of curve is $-\sin\theta$ 		
Note:	Give final A0 for the following example which shows no limiting arguments : when $h = 0$, $\frac{d}{d\theta}(\cos\theta) = -\frac{\sin h}{h}\sin\theta + \left(\frac{\cos h - 1}{h}\right)\cos\theta = -1\sin\theta + 0\cos\theta = -\sin\theta$		
Note:	Do not allow the final A1 for stating $\frac{\sin h}{h} = 1$ or $\left(\frac{\cos h - 1}{h}\right) = 0$ and attempting to apply these		
Note:	In this question $\delta\theta$ may be used in place of h		
Note:	Condone $f'(\theta)$ where $f(\theta) = \cos\theta$ or $\frac{dy}{d\theta}$ where $y = \cos\theta$ used in place of $\frac{d}{d\theta}(\cos\theta)$		

Question 10

General points for marking question 10 (i):

- Students who just try random numbers in part (i) are not going to score any marks.
- Students can mix and match methods. Eg you may see odd numbers via logic and even via algebra
- Students who state $4m^2 + 2$ **cannot be divided** by (instead of is not divisible by) cannot be awarded credit for the accuracy/explanation marks, unless they state correctly that $4m^2 + 2$ **cannot be divided by 4 to give an integer.**
- Students who write $n^2 + 2 = 4k \Rightarrow k = \frac{1}{4}n^2 + \frac{1}{2}$ which is not a whole number gains no credit unless they then start to look at odd and even numbers for instance
- Proofs via induction usually tend to go nowhere unless they proceed as in the main scheme
- Watch for unusual methods that are worthy of credit (See below)
- If the final conclusion is $n \in \mathbb{R}$ then the final mark is withheld. $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ is correct

Watch for methods that may not be in the scheme that you feel may deserve credit.

If you are uncertain of a method please refer these up to your team leader.

Eg 1. Solving part (i) by modulo arithmetic.

All $n \in \mathbb{N} \pmod 4$	0	1	2	3
All $n^2 \in \mathbb{N} \pmod 4$	0	1	0	1
All $n^2 + 2 \in \mathbb{N} \pmod 4$	2	3	2	3

Hence for all n , $n^2 + 2$ is not divisible by 4.

Question 10 (i)	Scheme	Marks	AOs
-----------------	--------	-------	-----

Notes: Note that **M0 A0 M1 A1** and **M0 A0 M1 A0** are not possible due to the way the scheme is set up (i)

M1: Awarded for setting up the proof for either the even or odd numbers.

A1: Concludes correctly with a reason why $n^2 + 2$ cannot be divisible by 4 for either n odd or even.

dM1: Awarded for setting up the proof for both even and odd numbers

A1: Fully correct proof with valid explanation and conclusion for all n

Example of an algebraic proof

For $n = 2m$, $n^2 + 2 = 4m^2 + 2$	M1	2.1
Concludes that this number is not divisible by 4 (as the explanation is trivial)	A1	1.1b
For $n = 2m + 1$, $n^2 + 2 = (2m + 1)^2 + 2 = \dots$ FYI $(4m^2 + 4m + 3)$	dM1	2.1
Correct working and concludes that this is a number in the 4 times table add 3 so cannot be divisible by 4 or writes $4(m^2 + m) + 3$AND stateshence true for all	A1*	2.4
	(4)	

Example of a very similar algebraic proof

For $n = 2m$, $\frac{4m^2 + 2}{4} = m^2 + \frac{1}{2}$	M1	2.1
Concludes that this is not divisible by 4 due to the $\frac{1}{2}$ (A suitable reason is required)	A1	1.1b
For $n = 2m + 1$, $\frac{n^2 + 2}{4} = \frac{4m^2 + 4m + 3}{4} = m^2 + m + \frac{3}{4}$	dM1	2.1
Concludes that this is not divisible by 4 due to the $\frac{3}{4}$...AND states hence for all n , $n^2 + 2$ is not divisible by 4	A1*	2.4
	(4)	

Example of a proof via logic

When n is odd, "odd \times odd" = odd	M1	2.1
so $n^2 + 2$ is odd, so (when n is odd) $n^2 + 2$ cannot be divisible by 4	A1	1.1b
When n is even, it is a multiple of 2, so "even \times even" is a multiple of 4	dM1	2.1
Concludes that when n is even $n^2 + 2$ cannot be divisible by 4 because n^2 is divisible by 4.....AND STATEStrues for all n .	A1*	2.4
	(4)	

Example of proof via contradiction

Sets up the contradiction 'Assume that $n^2 + 2$ is divisible by 4 $\Rightarrow n^2 + 2 = 4k$ '	M1	2.1
$\Rightarrow n^2 = 4k - 2 = 2(2k - 1)$ and concludes even Note that the M mark (for setting up the contradiction must have been awarded)	A1	1.1b
States that n^2 is even, then n is even and hence n^2 is a multiple of 4	dM1	2.1
Explains that if n^2 is a multiple of 4 then $n^2 + 2$ cannot be a multiple of 4 and hence divisible by 4 Hence there is a contradiction and concludes Hence true for all n .	A1*	2.4
	(4)	

A similar proof exists via contradiction where

$$A1: n^2 = 2(2k - 1) \Rightarrow n = \sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2k - 1}$$

dM1: States that $2k - 1$ is odd, so does not have a factor of 2, meaning that n is irrational

Question	Scheme	Marks	AOs
16	Sets up the contradiction and factorises: There are positive integers p and q such that $(2p+q)(2p-q) = 25$	M1	2.1
	If true then $2p+q=25$ or $2p+q=5$ $2p-q=1$ or $2p-q=5$ Award for deducing either of the above statements	M1	2.2a
	Solutions are $p=6.5, q=12$ or $p=2.5, q=0$ Award for one of these	A1	1.1b
	This is a contradiction as there are no integer solutions hence there are no positive integers p and q such that $4p^2 - q^2 = 25$	A1	2.1
		(4)	
		(4 marks)	
Notes:			

M1: For the key step in setting up the contradiction and factorising

M1: For deducing that for p and q to be integers then either $2p+q=25$ or $2p+q=5$
 $2p-q=1$ or $2p-q=5$ must be true.

Award for deducing either of the above statements.

You can ignore any reference to $2p+q=1$
 $2p-q=25$ as this could not occur for positive p and q .

A1: For correctly solving one of the given statements,

For $2p+q=25$
 $2p-q=1$ candidates only really need to proceed as far as $p=6.5$ to show the contradiction.

For $2p+q=5$
 $2p-q=5$ candidates only really need to find either p or q to show the contradiction.

Alt for $2p+q=5$
 $2p-q=5$ candidates could state that $2p+q \neq 2p-q$ if p, q are positive integers.

A1: For a complete and rigorous argument with both possibilities and a correct conclusion.

Question	Scheme	Marks	AOs
16 Alt 1	Sets up the contradiction, attempts to make q^2 or $4p^2$ the subject and states that either $4p^2$ is even(*) , or that q^2 (or q) is odd (**) Either There are positive integers p and q such that $4p^2 - q^2 = 25 \Rightarrow q^2 = 4p^2 - 25$ with * or ** Or There are positive integers p and q such that $4p^2 - q^2 = 25 \Rightarrow 4p^2 = q^2 + 25$ with * or **	M1	2.1
	Sets $q = 2n \pm 1$ and expands $(2n \pm 1)^2 = 4p^2 - 25$	M1	2.2a
	Proceeds to an expression such as $4p^2 = 4n^2 + 4n + 26 = 4(n^2 + n + 6) + 2$ $4p^2 = 4n^2 + 4n + 26 = 4(n^2 + n) + \frac{13}{2}$ $p^2 = n^2 + n + \frac{13}{4}$	A1	1.1b
	States This is a contradiction as $4p^2$ must be a multiple of 4 Or p^2 must be an integer And concludes there are no positive integers p and q such that $4p^2 - q^2 = 25$	A1	2.1
		(4)	

Alt 2

An approach using odd and even numbers is unlikely to score marks.

To make this consistent with the Alt method, score

M1: Set up the contradiction and start to consider one of the cases below where q is odd, $m \neq n$.

Solutions using the same variable will score no marks.

M1: Set up the contradiction and start to consider BOTH cases below where q is odd, $m \neq n$.

No requirement for evens

A1: Correct work and deduction for one of the two scenarios where q is odd

A1: Correct work and deductions for both scenarios where q is odd with a final conclusion

Options	Example of Calculation	Deduction
p (even) q (odd)	$4p^2 - q^2 = 4 \times (2m)^2 - (2n+1)^2 = 16m^2 - 4n^2 - 4n - 1$	One less than a multiple of 4 so cannot equal 25
p (odd) q (odd)	$4p^2 - q^2 = 4 \times (2m+1)^2 - (2n+1)^2 = 16m^2 + 16m - 4n^2 - 4n + 3$	Three more than a multiple of 4 so cannot equal 25

Question	Scheme	Marks	AOs
16	NB any natural number can be expressed in the form: $3k, 3k + 1, 3k + 2$ or equivalent e.g. $3k - 1, 3k, 3k + 1$		
	Attempts to square any two distinct cases of the above	M1	3.1a
	Achieves accurate results and makes a valid comment for any two of the possible three cases: E.g. $(3k)^2 = 9k^2 (= 3 \times 3k^2)$ is a multiple of 3	A1 M1 on EPEN	1.1b
	$(3k + 1)^2 = 9k^2 + 6k + 1 = 3 \times (3k^2 + 2k) + 1$ is one more than a multiple of 3 $(3k + 2)^2 = 9k^2 + 12k + 4 = 3 \times (3k^2 + 4k + 1) + 1$ $(\text{or } (3k - 1)^2 = 9k^2 - 6k + 1 = 3 \times (3k^2 - 2k) + 1)$ is one more than a multiple of 3		
	Attempts to square in all 3 distinct cases. E.g. attempts to square $3k, 3k + 1, 3k + 2$ or e.g. $3k - 1, 3k, 3k + 1$	M1 A1 on EPEN	2.1
	Achieves accurate results for all three cases and gives a minimal conclusion (allow tick, QED etc.)	A1	2.4
		(4)	
			(4 marks)